Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/638,616	TATEBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew T Henning	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in () or other appropriate communing the community of	his application. If not included ication will be mailed in due course. THI	IS ative
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the communication fi</u>	led October 12, 2004		
2. 🔀 The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-10</u> .		•	
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>8/15/2000</u> are accepted by the Exam	niner.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminsformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be comply must be subminsformation.	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file at MENT of this application. sitted. Note the attached EXAMes reason(s) why the oath or cost be submitted.	No In this national stage application from the reply complying with the requirements MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF leclaration is deficient.	е
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the property of the prope	son's Patent Drawing Review of the control of the c	the Office action of	
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATER	RIAL must be submitted. Note the	
 Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 9/26/2003 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview Sun Paper No./M 7. ☑ Examiner's A 8. ☑ Examiner's S 9. ☐ Other	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) nmary (PTO-413), ail Date mendment/Comment tatement of Reasons for Allowance	s.
		DREW CALDWELL ORY PATENT EXAMINER	-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/638,616 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

1. This communication is in response to the communication filed 10/12/2004.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. The application has been amended as follows:

The title of the invention is not descriptive of the invention claimed (See MPEP § 606.01), and therefore the title is amended to read as follows:

METHOD OF ENCRYPTION AND DECRYPTION WITH BLOCK NUMBER
DEPENDANT KEY SETS, EACH SET HAVING A DIFFERENT NUMBER OF KEYS

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant traverses primarily that:
 - i. Matsuzaki did not disclose taking in data one block at a time in order.
 - ii. Matsuzaki did not disclose selecting a mode of operation according to the number of blocks previously processed.
 - iii. Matsuzaki did not disclose generating two different groups of keys, in which one of the groups contains more keys than the other, and that each group was used for a different mode of operation.
- 4. Applicant's argument i. filed 10/12/2004, has been fully considered but is not persuasive. Matsuzaki did in fact disclose taking a block of 64 bits into the encryption device, as pointed out by the applicant on page 10 of the correspondence filed 10/12/2004.

Application/Control Number: 09/638,616 Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

5. Applicant's arguments, see ii, and iii, filed 10/12/2004, with respect to claims 14-6, and 9-10 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-10 has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-10 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 8. Matsuzaki et al. (US Patent Number 5,351,299), does not teach or suggest a combination as claimed in independent claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10, including a key generating step for generating a first group composed of a predetermined number n of different subkeys when a first mode is selected, and a second group composed of less than n different subkeys when the second mode is selected. As can be seen from Matsuzaki Fig. 6, there is only one mode of operation and one group of subkeys SK1. Therefore, claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10, are allowable over Matsuzaki.
- 9. Shimuzu et al. (US Patent Number 6,772,343) disclosed a encryption/decryption system in which even and odd numbered data blocks were submitted to two different modes of encryption with different keys. However, Shimuzu does not teach or suggest a combination as claimed in independent claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10, including a key generating step for generating a first group composed of a predetermined number n of different subkeys when a first mode is selected, and a second group composed of less than n different subkeys when the second mode is selected. As can be seen from Shimuzu Fig. 1, each group of keys contains an equal number of keys. Therefore, claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10, are allowable over Shimuzu.
- Tajima et al. (US Patent Number 5,517,614) disclosed an encryption system in each 10. block of data was encrypted according to an algorithm selected based on the previously

Art Unit: 2131

encrypted blocks of data. However, Tajima does not teach or suggest a combination as claimed in independent claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10, including the blocks being input into the encryption system in order on at a time. This can be seen from Tajima Fig. 1, in which the blocks are input simultaneously into a block processing section. Therefore, claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10, are allowable over Tajima.

- Chou et al. (US Patent Number 5,081,676) disclosed a system for encryption in which 11. two sets of keys were generated, and one set of the keys contained less keys than the other. However, Chou does not teach or suggest a combination as claimed in independent claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10, including the first set of keys being used for one mode of operation and the second set of keys being used for a second mode of operation. Instead, Chou disclosed that the two sets of keys were combined to create a single control key (See Chou Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). Therefore, claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10, are allowable over Chou.
- 12. Windirsch (US patent Number 6,760,439) disclosed a system in which multiple encryption algorithms may be performed simultaneously in a pipeline fashion. However, Windirsch does not teach or suggest a combination as claimed in independent claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10, including a key generating step for generating a first group composed of a predetermined number n of different subkeys when a first mode is selected, and a second group composed of less than n different subkeys when the second mode is selected. As disclosed by Windirsch, in Col. 6 Lines 55-63, the keys were not generated but instead they were pulled from storage, and also there was only one set of keys disclosed. Therefore, claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10, are allowable over Windirsch.

Application/Control Number: 09/638,616

Art Unit: 2131

13. Claims 2-3, and 7-8 are allowable by virtue of their dependency to allowable claims 1,

and 6.

14. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew T Henning whose telephone number is (571) 272-3790.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew Henning

Assistant Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2131

3/2/2005

ANDREW CALDWELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

andrew Goldl

Page 5